

Table of Contents

Consortium Members.....	XI
Chapters and Authors.....	XIII
Foreword	1
Chapter 1. A Classic Cross-border Case: the Usual Situation in the First Instance (<i>Fernando Gascón Inchausti and Marta Requejo Isidro</i>).....	5
A. Declaratory Stage of the Action.....	5
I. Research Approach, Conclusions and Proposals	5
1. Standpoint.....	5
2. General findings	6
3. Policy options and proposals.....	6
a) Option 1. Maintaining the status quo.....	7
b) Option 2. Targeted intervention (different levels of).....	7
c) Option 3. Horizontal minimum standards/full harmonization of procedural law	8
d) Additional actions.....	8
II. The National Rules on Competence in Cross-border Cases (e.g. is there a specialized judge in cross-border situations?)	8
1. Status quo. Summary	8
2. Problems and assessment.....	9
3. Proposals/Possible improvements	10
a) To address the lack of specialization.....	10
b) To address the specific issue of bias and lack of impartiality	11
III. The Cross-border Service of the Lawsuit	11
1. Status quo. Summary	11
a) Application of the SR.....	11
b) Beyond the SR.....	12
2. Problems and assessment.....	14
a) Result of Survey.....	14
b) Interviews.....	20
c) National reports, case law.....	23
d) Summary of the problems and consequences thereof.....	24
3. Proposals/Possible improvements	26
a) To address the difficulties arising from the SR.....	26
b) To address the difficulties arising from domestic legislation	27
c) Other.....	27
d) Assessment of the policy options.....	29
IV. Legal Aid.....	30
1. Status quo. Summary	30
2. Problems and assessment.....	32
a) Survey	32
b) Interviews.....	36
3. Proposals/Possible improvements	37
V. The Language of the Proceedings.....	38
1. Status quo. Summary	38
a) Documents. Translators	38
b) Hearings.....	39
c) Recollection of valuable experiences	39
2. Problems and assessment.....	40
a) Survey	40
b) Interviews.....	40
c) National reports	41
3. Proposals/Possible improvements	41
VI. The Rules on Representation before the Court	42
1. Status quo. Summary	42
a) Concerning the mandatory nature of legal representation	42
b) Person entitled to represent a litigant before court.....	43

Table of Contents

c) Requirement of domicile/representative.....	43
2. Problems and assessment.....	43
a) Survey.....	43
b) Interviews.....	44
c) National reports.....	45
3. Proposals/Possible improvements.....	45
VII. Scheduling of the Proceedings and Setting of Time Limits.....	46
1. Status quo: Summary.....	46
a) Time for first reaction.....	46
b) After appearance or in case of default.....	48
2. Problems and assessment.....	48
a) Survey.....	48
b) Interviews.....	49
c) National reports, case law.....	50
3. Proposals/Possible improvements.....	50
VIII. The Cross-border Taking of Evidence (including problems related to the translation of documents or interpretation during court hearings).....	51
1. Status quo. Summary.....	51
a) The Evidence Regulation.....	51
b) The impact of local particularities.....	52
c) Translation and interpretation.....	52
2. Problems and assessment.....	53
a) Survey.....	53
b) Interviews.....	54
c) Recollection of problems and consequences thereof.....	56
3. Proposals/Possible improvements.....	56
a) The Evidence Regulation.....	56
IX. Confidentiality Requirements in Court Proceedings.....	59
1. Status quo: Summary.....	59
a) Confidentiality of proceedings and of judicial decisions.....	59
b) Confidentiality in the proceedings.....	60
2. Problems and assessment.....	60
a) Survey.....	60
b) Interviews.....	61
c) National reports, case law.....	61
3. Proposals/possible improvements.....	61
X. Motivation/reasoning of the Judgment.....	62
1. Summary: Status quo.....	62
2. Problems and assessment.....	62
a) Survey.....	62
b) Interviews.....	63
c) National reports, case law.....	63
3. Proposals/Possible improvements.....	64
XI. The Decision on Costs.....	64
1. Status quo: Summary.....	64
a) The “loser pays” rule. Exceptions.....	64
b) The concept of “costs”.....	64
c) Further divergence.....	65
2. Problems and assessment.....	65
a) Survey.....	65
b) Interviews.....	65
c) National reports, case law.....	66
3. Proposals/Possible improvements.....	66
4. Addenda: court fees.....	67
B. Divergence at the Enforcement Stage.....	68
I. Approach of Research. Conclusions and Proposals.....	68
1. Standpoint.....	68
2. General findings.....	68
a) Deterrence.....	68
b) Insufficient attention to the cross-border quality of setting.....	68
c) Watered-down harmonization.....	69
2. Policy options and proposals.....	69

Table of Contents

II. Enforcement Proceedings	70
1. Status quo. Summary	70
a) The responsible authority	70
b) Time limits. Voluntary compliance	71
c) Time limits. Statutes of limitation	71
d) Time limits. Appeal against enforcement	71
e) Provisional enforceability	71
f) Recourses against enforcement	72
g) Costs of enforcing of a judgment of 20 000 euros	73
2. Problems and assessment	73
a) Uncertainty and costs	73
b) The protection of the foreign debtor	76
3. Proposals/Possible improvements	76
III. The Interface of Brussels I bis Regulation/National Systems	77
1. Status quo. Summary	77
a) The typical procedure to enforce a decision according to the Brussels I bis Regulation ..	77
b) Competent national court to hear Art. 36(2) or Art. 45 applications. Procedure	78
c) Objections that can be raised against the enforcement of a decision	78
2. Problems and assessment	81
a) "Diluted" harmonization	81
b) The system in motion. Bad habits and de facto difficulties	83
3. Proposals/possible improvements	85
Chapter 2. Default Procedures and Judgments in Cross-border Settings (<i>Paul Oberhammer,</i> <i>Florian Scholz, Katharina Auernig and Julius Schumann</i>)	89
A. Introduction to the Chapter	89
B. The Perspective of the Member State of Origin	91
I. The Cross-border Service of the Lawsuit	91
1. Status quo	91
2. Problems and Assessment	91
3. Proposals and Improvements	92
a) General proposal	92
b) Regarding difficulties arising from EU instruments	95
c) Regarding difficulties arising from divergent national laws	95
II. The Translation of Judicial Documents	96
III. The Rules on Representation Before the Court	96
1. Status quo, summary	96
2. Problems and Assessment	97
a) Responses to Online Survey and Interviews	97
b) The result of case law as reported in the National Reports	99
IV. Failure of a Defendant to Enter an Appearance and Declaration of Default by the Court	99
1. Status quo	99
a) Time limits	99
b) Declaration of default and consequences	100
2. Problems and Assessment	101
3. Proposals and Improvements	102
V. The Assessment (on Jurisdiction and/or on the Merits) Undertaken by the Judge in case the Defendant does not Enter an Appearance	103
1. Status quo	103
a) Obligation to investigate whether the document was served properly	103
b) Assessing jurisdiction and other procedural requirements	103
c) Assessment on the merits	105
2. Problems and Assessment	106
VI. Specific Situations where a Defendant will not be Permitted to Defend Himself although he is Present/Aware of the Case (i. e. contempt of court or debarment from defending)	108
1. Status quo	108
2. Problems and Assessment	109
3. Proposals and Improvements	110
VII. Procedures to Oppose the Judgment in the Member State of Origin besides the Appeal	111
1. Status quo	111
2. Problems and Assessment	112
3. Proposals and Improvements	113

Table of Contents

VIII. In Case a Defendant is Unable to Introduce his Recourse within the Time-limit, what Circumstances Allow Him to Introduce his Recourse outside of this Time-limit.....	113
1. Status quo.....	113
a) European Law.....	113
b) National Laws of the Member States as reported in the National Reports.....	113
2. Problems and Assessment.....	115
a) Problems Arising from National Law.....	115
b) Problems arising from Union Law and from its Relationship with National Law.....	115
3. Proposals and Improvements.....	116
C. Perspective of the State of Enforcement.....	117
I. Summary of Case Law on Grounds of Non-Recognition.....	117
1. Lack of service of the document initiating the proceedings.....	117
2. Service to the wrong place.....	118
3. Notification via public announcement.....	119
4. Proof of service.....	119
5. Translation requirements.....	119
6. Sufficient time period for defence.....	120
7. Lack of service of other documents.....	120
8. Possibility to challenge the default judgment.....	120
9. Other issues:.....	122
II. Problems Pointed Out by Participants in the Online Survey.....	123
III. Interview Results.....	126
IV. Proposals and Improvements.....	129
Chapter 3. Provisional Measures (Gilles Cuniberti and Eva Storskrubb).....	131
A. Arrest/Provisional Attachment.....	131
I. Summary.....	131
1. Regulation (EU) No 655/2014.....	131
2. Availability and Grounds for Provisional Attachment.....	132
3. Ex Parte Relief and Safeguards.....	135
4. Additional Procedural Divergences.....	136
II. Problems and Assessment.....	136
III. Proposals and Improvements.....	138
B. Provisional Payment.....	139
I. Summary.....	139
1. Improving the Efficiency of the Judicial System.....	139
2. Providing Financial Support to Impecunious Creditors.....	141
3. Favours certain categories of parties.....	143
II. Problems and Assessment.....	143
1. Availability of Provisional Payment.....	143
2. Jurisdiction to Grant Provisional Payment under EU Regulations.....	145
III. Proposals and Improvements.....	147
C. Preservation of Evidence.....	149
I. Summary.....	149
1. Remedies.....	149
2. Requirements and procedure.....	150
II. Problems and Assessment.....	151
1. The Recognition of Reports Established in other Member States.....	151
a) The admissibility of foreign reports.....	151
b) The evidentiary value of foreign reports.....	153
2. Jurisdiction to appoint judicial experts.....	154
3. Extra-territorial preservation orders.....	156
a) Is there an actual need for extra-territorial preservation orders?.....	157
b) The impact of the Evidence Regulation.....	158
III. Proposals and Improvements.....	160
Chapter 4. Appeal and Third Instance (Christoph Kern and Karol Weitz).....	163
A. First Appeal (Second Instance).....	163
I. General Assessment of Appellate Proceedings.....	163
1. Parties.....	164
2. Substantive jurisdiction regarding where to lodge the notice of appeal and the particulars of the appeal.....	164

Table of Contents

3. Content of the notice of appeal or particulars of appeal	165
4. Leave to appeal or certain value of the subject matter required for appeal	165
5. Gravamen	167
6. Problems and assessment	168
II. Time Limits	168
1. Beginning of the time limit	169
2. General length of the time limit	169
3. Extension or shortening of the time limit	170
4. Additional time limits	170
5. Problems and assessment	171
III. Representation	171
IV. Scope of Review	172
1. Review of law	173
2. Review of facts	173
3. Admissibility of introducing new facts/evidence	173
4. New claims/modification of the complaint	175
5. Problems and assessment	176
V. Decision of the Appeal Court	176
VI. Consequences on (Provisional) Enforcement	177
VII. The Cross-border Context	179
1. Documents provided to a defendant abroad who lost her first instance case	180
2. Information of the parties about the judgment; the question of translation	181
3. Filing an appeal and time limits	182
4. Effects of the proceedings on the enforceability of the judgment	182
5. Problems and assessment	182
B. Second Appeal (Third Instance)	183
I. General Assessment of the Second Appeal Proceedings	183
II. Conditions/Admissibility of Second Appeal	184
1. Judgments subject to second appeal	184
2. Ratione valoris limitation	185
3. Other monetary and fiscal requirements	186
4. Ratione iudicati limitation	186
5. Leave to appeal	187
6. Problems and assessment	188
III. Time Limits	188
1. Beginning of the time limit	189
2. General length of the time limit	189
3. Extension or shortening of the time limit	190
4. Problems and assessment	191
IV. Representation	191
V. Scope of Review	193
1. Typically a review of law	193
2. Problems and assessment	194
VI. The Judgment of the Supreme Court	194
VII. Consequences on Provisional Enforcement	195
VIII. The Cross-border Context	196
1. Documents provided to a party abroad who lost the second instance	198
2. Information of the parties about the judgment; the question of translation	199
3. Filing a second appeal and time limits	200
4. Effects of the second appeal proceedings on the enforceability of the judgment	201
C. Proposals and improvements	203
I. Information of the parties about the judgment; the question of translation	203
II. Information for the parties about legal remedies	203
III. Time limits	204
IV. Provisional enforcement	205
Chapter 5. Specific Instruments (Xandra Kramer)	207
A. Introduction	207
B. The European Enforcement Order (EEO)	209
I. Implementation: Competence, Certification and Review	209
1. Competence for the certification	209

Table of Contents

2. Certification as EEO	210
3. Review under Article 19 EEO.....	210
II. Problems and Assessment	212
1. General problems: rights of defense, review, and lack of familiarity and practice	212
2. Specific problems.....	214
3. Refusal of the certification and enforcement.....	215
III. Possible Improvements.....	216
C. The European Order for Payment Procedure (EOP).....	217
I. Implementation: Competence, Assessment, and Review.....	217
1. Competence to issue an EOP	217
2. Assessment made by the competent (judicial) authority.....	218
3. Review under Article 20 EOP.....	219
II. Problems and Assessment	219
1. General problems: service and review, interaction with national law, lack of familiarity and practice.....	219
2. Specific problems: competence, assessment, forms and language	220
3. Refusal of enforcement	221
III. Possible Improvements.....	221
D. The European Small Claim Procedure (ESCP).....	222
I. Implementation: Competence and Review Procedure	222
1. Competent courts.....	222
2. Review procedure	223
II. Problems and Assessment	224
1. General problems: lack of knowledge and limited use.....	224
2. Specific problems: competence, costs, assistance, time-limits, evidence, language, interest, service, and review	226
III. Refusal of Enforcement	229
IV. Possible Improvements.....	229
E. The European Maintenance Procedure	231
I. Implementation: Competence, Financial Support, Legal Standing, Provisional Measures, and Review	231
1. Competence regarding maintenance claims	231
2. Financial support.....	232
3. Legal standing	232
4. Provisional measures.....	233
5. Review	233
6. Central Authorities	234
II. Problems and Assessment	234
1. Procedural problems.....	236
2. Difficulties for practitioners.....	236
III. Possible Improvements.....	237
F. Conclusion: General assessment of the application of these specific instruments	239
Index	241
Case Law	245
Bibliography	247
Annexes	255
Annex I. National Reporters	257
Annex II. Full National Report Template.....	259
Annex III. Selected Questions and Responses from the Completed National Reports.....	273